British Journal of Interdisciplinary Research **October 2025, Volume 2, Number 8, pp. 42-56**
ISSN 3049-8899

EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN ADDRESSING NIGERIA'S INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIT

Mukoro Akpomuvie,

Prof., Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria

akpomuviemukoro@deslsu.edu.ng

&

Orhorha Jubilee,

Department of Public Administration, Delta State, University, Abraka, Nigeria

orhorhajubilee@gmail.com

Abstract

This study critically evaluates the effectiveness of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in addressing Nigeria's persistent infrastructure deficit. Despite various governmental efforts over the decades, Nigeria continues to face significant challenges in developing and maintaining essential infrastructure such as roads, electricity, water supply, housing, and healthcare facilities. As a strategy to bridge this gap, PPPs have emerged as a model for combining public oversight with private sector efficiency and funding. This research investigates how well PPP initiatives have performed in delivering sustainable, timely, and cost-effective infrastructure projects across Nigeria. Drawing from case studies, government reports, expert interviews, and academic literature, the study highlights both the successes and shortcomings of existing PPP arrangements. It reveals that while PPPs have made notable contributions in areas such as transportation and energy, several barriers such as weak institutional frameworks, lack of transparency, limited stakeholder engagement, and financial risks continue to hinder their full potential. The research concludes by offering practical recommendations for strengthening Nigeria's PPP framework, including policy reforms, enhanced regulatory oversight, and improved capacity building for public institutions. By shedding light on the practical outcomes of PPPs, this study contributes to ongoing discourse about sustainable infrastructure development in Nigeria and the evolving role of collaborative governance models in national development.

Keywords: *Public-Private Partnership, Infrastructure Deficit, Institutional Framework.*

DOI: 10.31039/bjir.v2i8.67

1. INTRODUCTION

Infrastructural development plays a critical role in driving economic growth, improving quality of life, and reducing poverty. In countries like Nigeria, where infrastructure deficits are both profound and persistent, the need for sustainable and scalable models for infrastructure financing and delivery has never been more urgent. Nigeria's infrastructure deficit cuts across sectorspower, transportation, housing, education, health, water, and sanitation. According to the World Bank (2023), the country needs to invest about 30% of its GDP annually to close this infrastructure gap, yet it currently invests less than 10%. The result is underdeveloped roads, epileptic power supply, decaying rail networks, and congested ports factors that continue to hamper industrialization, foreign direct investment, and the well-being of citizens. Nigeria's economic potential remains largely untapped, and inadequate infrastructure is a key constraint. A 2022 report by the African Development Bank (AfDB) estimates that Nigeria requires approximately $3 trillion over the next 30 years to meet its infrastructure needs. However, due to fluctuating oil revenues, high debt servicing costs, corruption, and poor fiscal planning, the government alone cannot fund this enormous requirement (AfDB, 2022). Consequently, Nigeria has turned to alternative financing mechanisms such as Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) to bridge the financing and operational gaps.

Public-Private Partnerships are long-term contractual agreements between public agencies and private entities, wherein the private sector participates in financing, designing, constructing, and sometimes operating public infrastructure or services. Globally, PPPs have emerged as vital tools for harnessing private sector expertise and capital to accelerate public service delivery and infrastructure growth (OECD, 2021). In Nigeria, the Federal Government began actively promoting PPPs in the early 2000s, with landmark projects like the Lekki-Epe Expressway, the Murtala Muhammed Airport Terminal 2 (MMA2), and various Build-Operate-Transfer (BOT) initiatives across seaports, roads, and power sectors. The Infrastructure Concession Regulatory Commission (ICRC), established in 2008, is charged with regulating and supervising PPP projects across the country. Over the years, the ICRC has facilitated several PPP agreements and introduced frameworks such as the National PPP Policy and the Project Development Manual to guide implementation. Despite these efforts, the actual outcomes of many PPP projects have been uneven. While some projects, like the MMA2 terminal and the Apapa Ports Concessions, have shown

promising results, others have suffered from delays, legal disputes, cost inflation, and abandonment raising questions about the effectiveness of PPPs in Nigeria's unique socio-political environment (ICRC, 2023).

Several factors hinder the successful implementation of PPPs in Nigeria. These include political instability, inconsistent policies, corruption, lack of technical capacity in public institutions, inadequate feasibility studies, and weak dispute resolution mechanisms (Ibrahim & Obadare, 2023). Moreover, many PPP arrangements in Nigeria have struggled with transparency and public accountability. Citizens often have limited knowledge of contract terms, financial obligations, and performance expectations, which undermines trust in these partnerships. Critics also point out that some PPPs prioritize profits over public interest, leading to user fees that are unaffordable for the average Nigerian (Ameh & Edike, 2022). In addition to these implementation challenges, the regulatory and institutional frameworks for PPPs in Nigeria remain fragmented and under- resourced. While federal agencies like the ICRC and the Bureau of Public Enterprises (BPE) play key roles, state governments often operate their own PPP units with varying degrees of competence and alignment with national policy. This misalignment has resulted in project overlaps, legal ambiguities, and bureaucratic bottlenecks that discourage credible investors (Ezeani, 2023). In states with relatively stable leadership and proactive governance such as Lagos PPPs have made more headway, but in many other states, PPPs remain underdeveloped or poorly managed.

However, the prospects of PPPs in addressing Nigeria's infrastructure challenges are still promising, if approached strategically. Well-designed PPPs can introduce operational efficiency, risk-sharing, technology transfer, and long-term capital investments into Nigeria's infrastructure sector. They can also foster innovation and accountability by benchmarking performance against private-sector standards. For example, in the power sector, independent power producers (IPPs) operating under PPP frameworks have helped improve electricity generation in targeted locations, despite the larger systemic issues in the national grid. This study seeks to provide an evidence- based evaluation of the effectiveness of Public-Private Partnerships in addressing Nigeria's infrastructure deficit. By analyzing specific case studies across sectors such as transportation, energy, health, and education this research aims to uncover the factors that contribute to success or failure in PPP projects. The study also explores the perspectives of key stakeholders, including government

officials, private investors, development partners, and civil society actors, to offer a holistic view of the PPP landscape in Nigeria.

The research examine the alignment of Nigeria's PPP frameworks with international best practices, such as those outlined by the World Bank, OECD, and UNESCAP. Through comparative analysis, the study will identify gaps in Nigeria's current approaches and offer policy recommendations for improving PPP effectiveness. The ultimate goal is to provide practical insights that can inform future infrastructure development efforts in Nigeria, ensuring that PPPs serve not just the elite or urban centers, but also marginalized and rural communities that often lack access to basic services.

As Nigeria prepares to embrace a post-oil economy with growing reliance on digital infrastructure, renewable energy, and smart urban development, the relevance of PPPs will only grow. This research, therefore, is both timely and critical not only for understanding the current state of infrastructure financing in Nigeria but also for shaping the next generation of policies and partnerships that will drive inclusive and sustainable development.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have emerged as a strategic tool for infrastructure development, especially in countries with limited public funding and increasing infrastructure deficits. At its core, a Public-Private Partnership is a contractual arrangement between a government agency and a private sector entity that allows for the sharing of resources, risks, and rewards in delivering public services or infrastructure projects (World Bank, 2020). The principal aim of PPPs is to harness the efficiency, innovation, and financing capacity of the private sector while leveraging the regulatory and policymaking power of the public sector. PPPs are seen to offer several benefits, including reduced public sector borrowing, improved project delivery timelines, enhanced quality of infrastructure, and long-term operational efficiencies (Yescombe, 2018; Akinyemi & Adewumi, 2021). However, despite these potential benefits, PPPs are not without challenges. Common issues include weak regulatory frameworks, lack of transparency, limited capacity within public institutions to manage complex contracts, and a mismatch of objectives between public and private stakeholders (UNECE, 2022). In developing countries like Nigeria, the effectiveness of PPPs is often constrained by political instability, corruption, and fluctuating

macroeconomic environments (Ezeani & Ezeani, 2021).

To understand the dynamics of PPPs in Nigeria, it is necessary to situate them within the broader historical and socio-economic context of infrastructure development in the country. Nigeria, despite its vast natural and human resources, has long struggled with a massive infrastructure deficit. The country's roads, railways, airports, power systems, and water supply networks are either in a state of disrepair or insufficient to meet the demands of a growing population. According to the African Development Bank (2020), Nigeria requires over $100 billion annually for the next ten years to bridge its infrastructure gap, yet public budget allocations consistently fall short of this target. Historically, infrastructure development in Nigeria has been dominated by public sector investment, often financed through oil revenues. However, the volatility of oil prices and a rising debt burden have made this model unsustainable. In response, successive governments have sought to attract private sector participation through PPPs. The establishment of the Infrastructure Concession Regulatory Commission (ICRC) in 2008 marked a formal institutional shift towards PPPs as a national strategy. Nonetheless, progress has been slow due to regulatory inconsistencies, weak institutional coordination, and public distrust in private-led infrastructure delivery (Owolabi & Makinde, 2023).

Theoretical frameworks offer essential insights into how PPPs can be conceptualized and evaluated. Institutional theory, for instance, underscores the importance of formal structures, legal norms, and governance frameworks in shaping the success or failure of PPP initiatives (North, 1990; Scott, 2004). In the Nigerian context, the weakness of institutions manifested through bureaucratic bottlenecks and legal uncertainty often impedes the effectiveness of PPP projects. Stakeholder theory, on the other hand, highlights the need for inclusive engagement of all actors involved in PPP projects, including government bodies, private investors, civil society organizations, and end-users (Freeman, 1984). It suggests that the success of PPPs depends on aligning the interests and expectations of diverse stakeholders. This is particularly relevant in Nigeria, where social acceptability and community participation are vital to the sustainability of infrastructure projects. Another relevant framework is the Public Value Theory, which emphasizes that PPPs should ultimately enhance the well-being of the public by delivering services that are efficient, equitable, and responsive to societal needs (Bryson et al., 2014). These theoretical lenses help us critically assess not only the operational outcomes of PPPs but also their

socio-political legitimacy.

Empirical research on PPPs in Nigeria and beyond provides mixed but valuable findings on their effectiveness in addressing infrastructure deficits. Studies such as Akintoye and Kumaraswamy (2019) highlight that PPPs have played a significant role in financing and operating critical infrastructure in countries like the UK, India, and South Africa. In Nigeria, empirical evidence from projects like the Lekki Toll Road Concession and the Garki Hospital Abuja PPP demonstrate that PPPs can deliver high-quality infrastructure and services when properly structured and managed (ICRC, 2022). However, other studies also reveal that many PPP projects in Nigeria are plagued by poor planning, lack of due diligence, and limited stakeholder engagement, leading to project delays, cost overruns, or outright failure (Adebayo & Adekeye, 2021). A study by Ogunlana (2022) examining 30 PPP projects in Nigeria found that only 40% were completed on time and within budget, citing governance and financing issues as the main bottlenecks. Comparative studies from Kenya, Ghana, and Brazil also show that while PPPs have the potential to drive infrastructure growth, their effectiveness depends largely on context-specific factors such as political stability, legal transparency, and institutional readiness (UNDP, 2021). In conclusion, the literature shows that while PPPs are a promising mechanism for addressing Nigeria's infrastructure challenges, their success is highly contingent on the strength of supporting institutions, alignment of stakeholder interests, and adherence to transparent, accountable governance structures. The Nigerian experience with PPPs reflects both the opportunities and pitfalls inherent in this approach, underscoring the need for a context-specific, theory-informed, and evidence-based framework to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of PPPs in the country's infrastructure development landscape.

3. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH PPP PROJECTS IN OTHER COUNTRIES

To deepen the understanding of PPP effectiveness, a comparative look at PPP infrastructure projects in other countries offers valuable perspective. Countries such as South Africa, India, and Brazil have faced similar infrastructure deficits and have turned to PPPs as a solution, yielding both instructive successes and pitfalls.

In South Africa, the Gautrain Rapid Rail Link project serves as an exemplary PPP in transportation. The project was delivered on time and within budget, largely due to effective risk allocation, institutional competence, and a strong regulatory framework. The South

African government maintained transparency through open bidding processes and enforced strict accountability measures, which enhanced private investor confidence and user satisfaction (World Bank, 2022). Nigeria can learn from this by reinforcing institutional capacity and depoliticizing PPP management. India's experience, particularly with the Delhi–Mumbai Industrial Corridor (DMIC), also reveals how large-scale PPPs can drive industrial growth and infrastructure development. The Indian government leveraged PPPs to integrate smart city development, transportation, and logistics infrastructure. A major takeaway from India's PPP model is the creation of dedicated PPP units and the integration of ICT for project monitoring a practice that Nigeria could adopt to ensure real-time oversight and compliance (Asian Development Bank, 2021). Brazil's use of PPPs in sanitation infrastructure, especially in São Paulo, highlights the importance of strong legal frameworks and civic participation. While Nigeria has legal provisions for PPPs under the Infrastructure Concession Regulatory Commission (ICRC) Act, enforcement remains weak. Brazil's success stems from enforcing legal commitments and enabling independent evaluation agencies to monitor PPP performance (OECD, 2023). These international experiences underscore that while PPPs are not a one-size-fits-all solution, their success often hinges on context-specific frameworks, strong institutions, transparent procurement, and effective public oversight. Nigeria can benefit from adopting global best practices while tailoring them to local realities.

4. CASE STUDIES/ANALYSIS

Overview of Selected PPP Projects in Nigeria

Nigeria's adoption of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in infrastructure development has gained momentum over the last two decades, particularly as a response to the country's persistent infrastructure deficit. Several notable PPP projects have been implemented, with varying degrees of success. Two of the most prominent examples include the Lagos-Ibadan Expressway and the Lekki Deep Sea Port. The Lagos-Ibadan Expressway, one of the busiest and most economically vital roadways in Nigeria, connects Lagos — the commercial nerve center — to Ibadan and the northern part of the country. This 127.6 km road has undergone significant reconstruction through a PPP arrangement involving Julius Berger Nigeria Plc and Reynolds Construction Company under the supervision of the Federal Ministry of Works and Housing. The project is co-financed by the federal government and private investors through a Design, Build, Operate, and Transfer (DBOT)

model, making it a major example of PPP in road infrastructure (National Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan, 2021). Another landmark PPP project is the Lekki Deep Sea Port located in Lagos State. This port is the first of its kind in West Africa and was developed through a PPP involving the Nigerian Ports Authority (NPA), Lagos State Government, and Lekki Port LFTZ Enterprise Limited, a special purpose vehicle created for the project. The port is projected to handle 2.7 million TEUs (twenty-foot equivalent units) annually and is expected to significantly decongest existing ports while enhancing Nigeria's capacity for international trade (Igbokwe, 2022).

Analysis of Project Outcomes: Successes, Challenges, and Lessons Learned

The outcomes of these projects have offered valuable insights into the operation of PPPs in Nigeria. The Lagos-Ibadan Expressway project, despite its slow start and intermittent delays due to financial restructuring and policy inconsistencies, has seen substantial progress. Reports indicate that over 80% of the project has been completed, contributing to reduced travel time, improved safety, and enhanced logistics efficiency. However, the project also faced challenges such as land acquisition disputes, funding gaps, and coordination difficulties between stakeholders (Adebayo & Umeh, 2023). In the case of the Lekki Deep Sea Port, the project reached completion in 2022 and commenced operations in 2023. It has been hailed as a landmark success due to its early completion, strategic location, and advanced infrastructure. Nonetheless, some critics have noted that logistics bottlenecks outside the port environment, including poor road access and limited rail integration, could undermine the port's effectiveness unless addressed (Okonkwo & Adeyemi, 2023). The project has nonetheless established a template for large-scale PPPs in maritime infrastructure, emphasizing the importance of stakeholder synergy, regulatory clarity, and timely financing. Lessons drawn from both projects indicate that while PPPs can significantly augment Nigeria's infrastructure base, their success is contingent upon transparent governance, effective risk-sharing mechanisms, and robust legal and institutional frameworks. Inadequate political will, policy inconsistency, and bureaucratic inertia often hinder PPP execution, but when these are mitigated, PPPs can deliver transformative outcomes.

Evaluation of PPP Effectiveness: Using Indicators such as Project Completion Rate, Cost Savings, and User Satisfaction

To critically assess the effectiveness of PPPs in these projects, key performance indicators such as project completion rate, cost savings, and user satisfaction have been utilized. The Lagos-Ibadan Expressway has achieved a commendable completion rate considering its scale and complexity. The use of private capital and expertise has reportedly reduced construction costs and time overruns by 25%, compared to fully government-funded road projects of similar scale (Federal Ministry of Works, 2022). User satisfaction, gauged through surveys conducted by local NGOs and media outlets, has been mixed. While commuters commend the smoother travel experience, concerns persist over tolling mechanisms and long-term maintenance accountability. This highlights the need for more transparent user engagement strategies in PPP design and implementation. Similarly, the Lekki Deep Sea Port has demonstrated efficiency in project execution, with its early completion considered a major cost-saving outcome. Private sector-led innovation introduced cutting-edge technology for cargo handling, which has reportedly increased port throughput by 30% compared to Apapa and Tin Can ports (Nigerian Shippers Council, 2023). Initial user feedback from shipping firms and logistics companies indicates high satisfaction with port efficiency, although long-term satisfaction will depend on addressing infrastructural bottlenecks along the logistics chain. In summary, both projects underscore the potential of PPPs to close Nigeria's infrastructure gap when effectively structured and managed. However, to enhance future outcomes, Nigeria must institutionalize policy continuity, improve regulatory oversight, and foster stronger collaboration between public and private actors.

5. RESULTS

Summary of Findings

Effectiveness of PPPs in Addressing Nigeria's Infrastructure Deficit

The evaluation of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) as a mechanism for infrastructure development in Nigeria reveals that PPPs have played a growing and increasingly impactful role in bridging the country's vast infrastructure gap. The findings indicate that PPPs have contributed significantly to the execution of large-scale infrastructure projects in transportation, power, and maritime sectors—most notably the Lagos-Ibadan Expressway, Lekki Deep Sea Port, and Azura- Edo Independent Power Plant. These projects demonstrate tangible improvements in project delivery timelines, quality of infrastructure, and cost management compared to traditionally government-funded projects. Data from the

Infrastructure Concession Regulatory Commission (ICRC) shows that over 100 PPP projects were in various stages of development between 2010 and 2023, with at least 30 fully operational (ICRC, 2023). The completion rate for PPP projects has averaged 70–85% in the past decade, a marked improvement over public-sector infrastructure projects that often stall or remain uncompleted due to funding or bureaucratic challenges (Afolabi & Longe, 2022). In sectors where the private sector has a vested financial interest, such as ports, toll roads, and energy, PPPs have performed particularly well, demonstrating that incentives and clear revenue models are critical to PPP success. Moreover, user satisfaction metrics gathered from local surveys and independent monitoring groups reveal moderate to high levels of satisfaction with completed PPP projects. These include improvements in travel time, logistics efficiency, and service reliability (World Bank Nigeria Infrastructure Diagnostic Report, 2023). Nonetheless, some skepticism persists among stakeholders due to concerns about transparency, equity in service delivery, and long-term maintenance commitments.

Analysis of Results: Identifying Patterns, Trends, and Correlations

Analysis of the empirical data and case studies reveals several key patterns and trends in the effectiveness of PPPs in Nigeria. First, there is a clear positive correlation between private sector engagement and project efficiency. Projects that involve major private investment partners and clearly defined risk-sharing mechanisms tend to achieve faster completion and better quality outcomes. For instance, both the Lekki Deep Sea Port and the Azura-Edo Power Plant were completed ahead of schedule, largely due to the presence of multinational private stakeholders with significant technical expertise and capital leverage (Okonkwo & Adeyemi, 2023). Secondly, the effectiveness of PPPs appears to be sector-sensitive. Infrastructure projects in sectors with strong commercial potential like ports, roads with tolling opportunities, and power generation attract more robust private sector participation and tend to perform better. Conversely, social infrastructure projects (such as hospitals, schools, and water supply systems), which may lack immediate financial returns, are often less successful under PPP frameworks due to weaker private sector incentives. Geographic disparities also emerge. PPP performance is stronger in regions with better institutional support, political stability, and regulatory clarityespecially in Lagos and the Federal Capital Territory. States with weak governance frameworks or unstable political climates tend to experience delays, contract breaches, or underperformance (Adebayo &

Umeh, 2023). Additionally, projects that receive strong federal backing, such as those included in the National Development Plan or NIIMP, exhibit higher success rates than those championed solely at the state level. Another notable trend is the impact of governance quality and institutional frameworks on PPP outcomes. Projects operating under well-articulated legal contracts, backed by enforceable regulatory mechanisms (e.g., via the ICRC or Bureau of Public Enterprises), have demonstrated more resilience and sustainability. Conversely, a lack of transparency, policy inconsistency, and corruption continue to undermine the credibility of some PPP arrangements, especially at sub- national levels.

Discussion of Implications: Policy, Practical, and Theoretical Implications

The findings of this study hold significant policy implications for Nigerian infrastructure development. First, there is a need for greater policy consistency and institutional strengthening to enable PPP frameworks to thrive. Policymakers must prioritize reforms that reduce bureaucratic hurdles, streamline contract approval processes, and enforce accountability among stakeholders. Strengthening the legal and regulatory frameworks such as enhancing the autonomy and capacity of the ICRC is vital for improving investor confidence and ensuring project sustainability. Practically, the results underscore the importance of stakeholder engagement and inclusive project design. Future PPPs must embed community consultation, environmental impact assessments, and long-term maintenance strategies into their planning processes. Ensuring transparency in contract award, cost-sharing, and tolling structures can help build public trust and improve user satisfaction, especially in socially sensitive sectors. It is also essential that governments improve infrastructure around PPP projects such as feeder roads and utilities to maximize their economic benefits.

From a theoretical perspective, the study supports and extends key propositions from Institutional Theory and Stakeholder Theory. Institutional theory posits that infrastructure outcomes depend heavily on the formal rules and informal norms governing public-private interactions. This is evident in how regulatory stability and institutional integrity shaped the outcomes of projects like the Lekki Port. Similarly, stakeholder theory is validated by the crucial role played by community engagement, local acceptance, and public oversight in ensuring project success and sustainability. The results indicate that PPPs can be more than financing tools they are governance frameworks that, when well implemented, can catalyze

innovation, accountability, and efficiency in infrastructure delivery. However, to fulfill this potential, Nigeria must institutionalize performance monitoring, create incentives for long-term investment, and promote a more equitable distribution of project benefits across regions and social classes.

6. CONCLUSION

The evaluation of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in addressing Nigeria's infrastructure deficit reveals both promise and complexity. Over the past two decades, PPPs have emerged as a vital strategy for financing and delivering critical infrastructure projects, particularly in sectors where public resources alone have proven inadequate. Through a review of relevant literature, theoretical frameworks, and empirical case studies including the Lagos-Ibadan Expressway and the Lekki Deep Sea Port this study demonstrates that PPPs can offer substantial benefits such as improved project efficiency, cost-effectiveness, technological innovation, and enhanced service delivery. The analysis underscores a consistent pattern: PPPs are most successful when underpinned by strong legal and regulatory institutions, clear risk-sharing agreements, and robust stakeholder collaboration. Projects with clear commercial viability, political support, and professional private sector involvement tend to yield higher completion rates and greater user satisfaction. However, persistent challenges such as bureaucratic inertia, policy inconsistency, land acquisition disputes, and infrastructure gaps in surrounding areas continue to undermine the full potential of PPPs in Nigeria. A critical finding of this study is that the effectiveness of PPPs is highly context-sensitive. Their outcomes are shaped not only by the technical and financial details of project execution but also by the institutional quality, governance culture, and stakeholder dynamics in which they are embedded. This highlights the need for continuous reforms that strengthen Nigeria's PPP governance ecosystem, including improved transparency, enforcement of contractual obligations, community engagement, and capacity-building for public institutions.

REFERENCES

Adebayo, A., & Umeh, C. (2023). *Public-private partnerships and road infrastructure delivery in Nigeria: A case study of the Lagos-Ibadan Expressway*. Journal of African Infrastructure and Development, 15(2), 110–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaid.2023.02.006

African Development Bank (AfDB). (2022). *Nigeria Infrastructure Needs Assessment*. AfDB Reports.

Ahmed, S., & Lall, P. (2021). The role of institutional frameworks in PPP implementation in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of Public Administration and Management*, 28(1), 77–93.

Akintoye, A., Beck, M., & Hardcastle, C. (2003). *Public-private partnerships: Managing risks and opportunities*. Blackwell Science.

Ameh, J., & Edike, A. (2022). "Public-Private Partnerships in Nigeria: Promise or Pitfall?" *Journal of African Public Policy*, 18(2), 77–92.

Amobi, D. S. (2013). *Public-private partnership as a policy strategy for infrastructure development in Nigeria*. African Journal of Business Management, 7(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM12.2247

Babatunde, S. O., Perera, S., Zhou, L., & Udeaja, C. (2012). Barriers to public-private partnership projects in developing countries: A case of Nigeria. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 19(3), 291–314. https://doi.org/10.1108/09699981211219563

Ezeabasili, A. C. C., & Umeh, O. C. (2021). *PPPs and infrastructure development in Nigeria: Opportunities, risks, and outcomes*. International Journal of Infrastructure Policy, 9(2), 52–67.

Federal Ministry of Works. (2022). *Lagos-Ibadan Expressway reconstruction project report*. Abuja: Government of Nigeria.

Garvin, M. J., & Bosso, D. (2008). Assessing the effectiveness of infrastructure public-private partnerships programs and projects. *Public Works Management & Policy*,

13(2), 162–178. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087724X08323845

Gómez-Ibáñez, J. A. (2003). *Regulating infrastructure: Monopoly, contracts, and discretion*.

Harvard University Press.

Hodge, G., & Greve, C. (2007). Public-private partnerships: An international performance review. *Public Administration Review*, 67(3), 545–558. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00736.x

Ibrahim, M., & Obadare, A. (2023). "Rethinking PPP Implementation in Nigeria: Challenges and Prospects." *Nigerian Journal of Development Studies*, 29(1), 44–61.

Igbokwe, U. C. (2022). Lekki Deep Sea Port and Nigeria's maritime transformation: A PPP perspective. *African Maritime Studies Review*, 4(1), 20–38.

Infrastructure Concession Regulatory Commission (ICRC). (2022). *2022 PPP project pipeline and completion report*. Abuja: ICRC.

Infrastructure Concession Regulatory Commission (ICRC). (2024). *PPP Projects Update and Implementation Framework*. Abuja, Nigeria.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (2023). *Annual Infrastructure Sector Report*. Retrieved from nigerianstat.gov.ng

National Bureau of Statistics. (2021). *Infrastructure performance index report*. Abuja: NBS.

National Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan. (2021). *Nigeria's infrastructure outlook: 2021–2043*. Abuja: National Planning Commission.

Nigerian Shippers Council. (2023). *Assessment of port efficiency: Comparing Lekki, Apapa, and Tin Can Island ports*. Lagos: NSC.

OECD. (2021). *Public-Private Partnerships: Infrastructure Delivery Models*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Okonkwo, J., & Adeyemi, M. (2023). Lessons from PPP megaprojects in Nigeria: Evaluating the Lekki Deep Sea Port. *Nigerian Journal of Transport and Maritime Policy*, 11(2), 80–94.

World Bank. (2020). *Public-private partnerships: Reference guide (version 3.0)*.

Washington, DC: World Bank Group. https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/library/ppp-reference-guide

World Bank. (2023). *Nigeria Economic Update: Strengthening Resilience Through Infrastructure*. Washington, D.C.